IF ONLY CARS COULD FLY...

Have you noticed that the design philosophy of the car that you drive is approaching the design philosophy for airplanes? No, we don't mean that cars are about to sprout wings and soar above the traffic jams - though there is much that is attractive about that thought! We mean that today's cars are, of necessity, lighter, more compact, more durable, as well as being safer and more reliable and comfortable. Any engineer involved with the dynamic components of a car or truck: the powertrain, steering or suspension; is immediately faced with the dichotomy of designing smaller parts to carry greater loads. For instance, a transmission engineer has only so much space in which to work, under the hood of an aerodynamically efficient front wheel drive vehicle. Then, no sooner does he have a successful transmission than the engine design guys figure out a way to increase the horsepower....

If you think the problem is limited to passenger vehicles, take a closer look at the huge trucks that go hurtling past you: speed is obviously a selling point but so is weight, the less the better. Less truck weight means more payload and it is payload that produces profits. (Doesn't that sound familiar to you aircraft guys?) Under present day conditions of extended warranties, design criteria on materials very quickly pass from ultimate or yield strengths to fatigue limits.

HOW TO INCREASE THE FATIGUE STRENGTH OF GEARS BY 20% to 50%!!

Let's continue with the example of transmissions, since we all drive cars. Each tooth on a transmission gear can be thought of as a cantilevered beam that is deflected each time it engages with the corresponding gear or pinion. After (hopefully) many millions of cycles, a tiny crack can eventually appear in the root of a gear tooth, which is the area that carries the greatest load (actually, the tooth root is the fulcrum of the cantilevered beam). How many millions of cycles the tooth will last without cracking defines the fatigue life. How much load (stress) defines the fatigue strength or endurance limit. It doesn't take much to figure out that as the load increases, the life decreases. Fatigue life is usually plotted as an "S/N" curve: "S" for stress and "N" for number of cycles to failure (Figure 1). By definition, the fatigue strength or endurance limit is the stress level below which the part will have "infinite life", a term which, like beauty, is in the eye of the beholder. A designer of a gear that is used in a drill for the home market may consider "infinite life" to be ten million cycles; a similar gear for a professional tool may need to run for 100 million cycles.

Returning to the transmission engineer who is faced with a higher horsepower engine: he may soon discover that one or two of his gears, or a shaft, for instance, start to break at less than "infinite life", as the load/stress goes up. What are his options? Not too many:

1. He can consider a larger gear. That certainly would reduce the load on each tooth but this is hardly an option. A larger gear would almost certainly mean a larger transmission which would no longer fit the design envelope but would also involve a very costly retooling.
2. He can increase the strength of the material. If he is not already at the limit. Mostly, transmission gears are of carburized steel and case hardened to 60 HRC minimum and, for practical purposes, that is about as strong as you can get. Aircraft quality gears, for helicopter and turboprop transmissions, for instance, and some marine gears, can be made stronger but are cost-prohibitive for most land-based applications. There is another problem,
though: in many cases, steel becomes increasingly brittle or notch sensitive as hardness is increased. In other words, beyond a certain strength level, as the ultimate tensile strength goes up, the fatigue strength goes down. There is a rather simple way to overcome this phenomenon and we will address it later in this report.

3. The transmission designer can change the surface stress pattern in the gears. This option takes some explanation but it may offer the ideal solution to the problem of “higher horsepower”. Changing the stress pattern does not reduce the load but it can increase the fatigue strength by 20-30%, often more. It requires no change of material nor does it increase the size or weight of the gear. Best of all, it is very cost effective.

**HOW TO PREVENT FATIGUE CRACKS**

Let's go back to thinking of a gear tooth as a cantilevered beam. When the tooth is engaged, the operating load causes a tensile stress or a stretching action of the steel in the root radius on the load side. On the opposite side, the root radius is being pushed together in a compressive stress. It is easy enough to see that, after many load cycles, fatigue cracks can start only where the metal is being stretched the most in tension: fatigue cracks can never start where the metal is being pushed together in compression. The trick, then, is to find a way to pre-load the tension side of the root with a compressive stress that must be overcome by the applied load before any fatigue crack can start. This will raise the fatigue strength and allow an increase in load (stress) for the same life (cycles) or a longer life (more cycles) at the same load. We have been using gears as our example but the same principle is true for springs, crankshafts, connecting rods, ball studs, jet engine components, wing structures, highway bridges, crane booms — any metal component that experiences repeated service loads. The most practical and economical process to generate the high magnitude of residual compressive stresses in such a wide variety of parts and geometries is Controlled Shot Peening.

**WHY SHOT PEENING WORKS**

Shot peening involves the bombardment of the metal part by millions of tiny (typically .023 inch or 0.5 mm diameter) steel spheres, each of which slightly indents the surface upon impact. Sometimes, the peening media may be glass or even ceramic beads. Shot peening is often called “mechanical or impact prestressing” and under each indentation, there is formed a hemisphere of cold-worked metal that tries to push the indentation out again and restore the surface to its original shape. Because the surface has been yielded (in tension) past its elastic limit, the indentation remains and the cold-worked hemisphere develops a compressive self-stress (or residual stress) that is beneath the surface, to a depth of typically 0.020 inch or 0.50 mm. The value of the self-stress is just below the yield strength of the metal: if it were greater than yield, it would push the dimple out and if it were less than yield, the dimple would remain deeper: in short, an equilibrium is reached just below yield.

This very high magnitude of compressive prestress must be overcome by the applied load before a fatigue crack can start at the surface. Since applied loads rarely exceed the yield strength, the endurance limit and/or life is greatly increased for that particular part. In our example, the transmission gears and shafts in question can be shot peened very economically and will now sustain the higher horsepower.

**OVERCOMING DETRIMENTAL MANUFACTURING EFFECTS**

The irony is that many of the most common manufacturing processes used to make metal parts, actually have the effect of dramatically lowering the fatigue strength — a fact that is often not well understood by design engineers. It is reasonable to assume that if a part is dimensionally correct and the material is to specification, all is as it should be. However, residual stresses left over from grinding, for instance, can lead to premature failures. (see Figure 3)

Manufacturing processes detrimental to fatigue are not limited to grinding. On the list are through-hardening to very high hardness levels (as opposed to surface hardening, which is beneficial), abusive machining, hard plating, anodizing and flame coating, welding, even electro-discharge machining and electro-chemical milling. If you want more information on these, please use the reply card to order “Shot Peening Applications”. We will comment, though, on through hardening, as it has application in gearing. Most metals, as you increase their hardness level, after a point become increasingly brittle or notch-sensitive. With steels, the fatigue strength typically begins to fall off as the hardness passes about 40 HRC (see Figure 4) — the brittle metal simply cracks more easily. However, if the steel is shot peened, the fatigue strength increases proportionately to the hardness. Using the example of Figure 4, the SAE 4340
aircraft quality steel actually exhibits almost three times the fatigue strength, in
the shot peened samples, at an ultimate tensile strength of 300 KSI. This
principle is often employed on the gears, hammers and anvils of impact tools
that are subject to very high shock loads and wear. It is also used for aircraft
landing gears and propeller hubs where very high strengths are used so as to be
able to reduce weight.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN SHOT PEENING OF
GEARS

By understanding the effects that can be produced by shot peening, a gear designer can
derive benefits mainly from the following two advantages:

a) Improved bending fatigue strength of the gear tooth in the root fillet;
b) Increased surface fatigue resistance to retard pitch line pitting.

It makes sense and has been well known for many years that for maximum residual
compressive stress, the shot hardness should at least equal the hardness of the part. It
appears that this practice is gaining popularity, probably because designers are increasing
the loads upon components and must squeeze out as much fatigue strength as possible.
Figure 5 shows the residual stress distribution on an automotive transfer shaft peened on
the hypoid pinion teeth with regular (45-52 HRC) and hard (55-62 HRC) shot. The teeth are
SAE 8620 carburized and hardened to 60-64 HRC, and the hard shot is used in production
(MI 230H at 18-22A intensity and 200% coverage, verified by Peenscan®). It should be
noted, however, that a helicopter manufacturer specifies regular hardness shot for peening
of their very hard transmission gears: they are willing to forego the extra margin of residual
stress gained from hard shot in favor of preserving the surface finish of their near-polished
gear faces.

Recent testing, by the University of Munich, was performed on shot peened automotive
transmission gears. Alloys were SAE 6120 and an European alloy designated 20
NiMoCrS63, carburized and hardened to 60 HRC minimum. Both sets of gears were
peened with MI 170H shot at 10-12A and 200% coverage, verified by Peenscan®, and were
processed at MIC’s shot peening facility in Unna, Germany. Figure 6 indicates fatigue
strength increase of 42%. Also from Europe comes the chart in Figure 7 that shows
increased depth and magnitude of compression on a carburized surface as the result of
increasing shot velocity.2

DUAL INTENSITY SHOT PEENING

If you look again at Figure 7 you will notice that the maximum residual compressive
stress is at a depth of between 0.0005 and 0.002 inch (0.013 and 0.05 mm). At the
immediate surface, there is a reduction in the compressive stress. Assuming no
detrimental products of transformation (oxidation) at the surface (more on this later), this
decline in stress at the surface can be restored by a secondary peening with smaller shot
at a lower intensity. The surface residual stress in carburized SCM 420 (Japan) steel is
increased by dual peening from about 780 MPa to 1330 MPa.10 Figure 9 shows that the
fatigue properties of SCM 420 gears are improved by 50% from dual peening when
compared to “as carburized”. Dual peening involves peening the part with a shot size and
intensity that would be normal for that part and then following up with a secondary
peening using a much smaller shot size and correspondingly lower intensity. Dual peening
obviously increases the cost of processing but can be well worth it, for instance, for racing
car applications or aircraft turboprop gearing, where maximum fatigue strength is
paramount.
CARBONITRIDING AND HARD SHOT PEENING

From Japan comes a report of yet another approach to extracting the most from the least; the most fatigue strength from the least gear size. Oddly, Miwa et al apparently initiated their project with the intent of reducing surface roughness caused by peening carburized gears with hard shot. They had observed the relatively softer immediate surface layer caused by products of transformation and often referred to as “zone of decarburization”. Because it was softer, this thin surface layer produced a rougher tooth profile surface when it was peened. Certainly, this is a correct observation but what is surprising is that the researchers were apparently not concerned by the reduction in fatigue strength that is caused by a decarburized layer, however thin, which can actually be offset by peening. Miwa et al developed a multi-step carbonitriding process to permeate nitrogen into only the extremely shallow surface layer, thereby reducing oxidation and the undesirable products of transformation. They found that peening with hard shot (in this case 53-55 HRC) produced a much higher residual compressive stress (64% more than conventional shot peening) but they also found that they could produce a significant increase in surface hardness. In addition, they were able to maximize residual compressive stress and micro hardness from what they termed “hard shot peening” when the content of retained austenite was transformed into martensite by the action of the peening. In terms of improvement in fatigue strength, their new carbonitriding process followed by their hard shot peening, produced an increase of 1.3 times the fatigue strength of standard carburizing followed by peening with regular hardness (46-48 HRC) shot, (Figure 9).

SHOT PEENING “ALLOWABLES”

From the foregoing, we have seen results of testing showing improvements in bending fatigue strength of carburized and shot peened gears as high as 42%, when compared to carburized only gears; and 50% from dual peening. This is a far greater effect than can be obtained by any other process, with only insignificant changes to gear geometry. At the same time, controlled shot peening is a very economical process that adds usually less than 5% to the cost of a finished gear or shaft. To take full advantage of the shot peening benefits, it is necessary to conduct fatigue tests for a given gear under at least simulated operating conditions. However, not all gear applications warrant extensive testing and designers look for rules of thumb that can apply to their gears. Gears are, after springs, the most commonly peened component, from the finest pitch to segmented mining gears 40 feet (12 meters) in diameter. Also, shot peening is beneficial on spur gears, bevels, spiral bevels, hypoids, rings, pinions, internals, racks, even splines. With all this variety, there are still some rules of thumb that apply. American Gear Manufacturers Association Standard ANSI/AGMA 2004-B89 states: “Shot Peening... may improve the bending fatigue strength of a gear tooth as much as 25 percent” but warns that “shot peening should not be confused with grit and shot blasting, which are cleaning operations”. Lloyd’s Register of Shipping, in a letter dated 3rd May 1990, informed Metal Improvement Company that “the following allowance will be considered with the new Gearing Rules where properly controlled shot peening process has been applied to gear teeth. “Surface stress — no increase of the allowable Hertzian contact stresses”. “Bending stress — up to a 20% increase of the allowable
bending stress at the tooth root. Germanischer Lloyd advises that "If the fatigue bending strength of the teeth is increased by a technique approved by the Society, e.g. by shot peening, then values — by up to 20% for case-hardened tooth systems and by up to 10% for gears made of quenched and tempered steels — may be allowed". Det Norske Veritas also allows 20%.

**SHOT PEENING RETARDS SURFACE PITTING OR SPALLING**

In 1982, work performed at NASA’s Lewis Research Center, was published by Townsend and Zaretsky. This comprehensive report showed that the pitting fatigue life of AISI 9310 (carburized) spur gears was lengthened by 50% when the gear faces were peened at “medium intensity” using MI 70R shot at 7-9A (0.18-0.23mm) with 100% coverage. In 1992 Dennis P. Townsend presented a follow-on paper at the Sixth International Power Transmission and Gearing Conference. The new work involved the same gears and material but a “high intensity” shot peening was used: MI 330H shot at 15-17A (0.38-0.43mm) with 200% coverage.

In both cases, Peenscan® was used for coverage determination — also note the use of hard shot in the second series of tests, as well as the additional coverage. In both groups, the gears were honed after shot peening to a 16 RMS surface finish. “The 10-percent surface fatigue (pitting) life of the high intensity shot peened gears was 2.15 times that of the gears that were shot peened to a medium intensity”. This very considerable improvement was attributed to 57% higher residual stress at the peak, with greater depth of compression. We have taken the liberty of combining the curves from both papers and present them in Figure 10. It is interesting to note that the “high intensity” shot peening increased the pitting fatigue life by almost four times when compared to the unpeened test gears.

**CONCLUSION**

Do all gears require shot peening? Of course not, but the fatigue strength of just about all metal gears can be improved by shot peening; even “perfect” gears. There is a school of though that claims that “perfectly” manufactured gears do not need shot peening. We couldn’t agree more but “perfection” (like beauty, in our earlier example) is a relative term; relative, in the case of a gear to its application. A “perfect” gear quickly becomes less than perfect when it is necessary to increase the load beyond the original design criteria. For many applications, the “perfect” gear must be as small as possible to meet the demands of envelope, weight and fuel economy. A “perfect” gear may well be one that is sufficient to do the job for the price but is far from the ultimate in terms of material, machining and processing. In all these cases, and many others, intelligent use of controlled shot peening may well be the
answer to meeting the demands of the application. Remember that even a modest 10% increase in fatigue strength can result in an increase in gear life by an order of magnitude or more. At Metal Improvement Company, we have the engineering know-how not only to process your gears but to develop, without exhaustive and expensive testing, the parameters that will produce the best results from shot peening. After all, the first parts we ever peened, for our very first customer, were gears, back in 1945. Today, we have 34 shot peening job shops in the principal industrial centers of North America and Europe and field crews that can be deployed world-wide. Please let us help you solve your gearing challenges.

John S. Eckersley, Editor
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Fig 9: ROTATING BENDING FATIGUE STRENGTH OBTAINED BY CARBONITRIDING AND HARD SHOT PEENING

Fig 10: COMPARISON OF SURFACE (PITTING) FATIGUE LIFE OF CARBURIZED AND GROUND AISI 9310 SPUR GEARS:
1. STANDARD FINISHING; 2. MEDIUM INTENSITY SHOT PEENING; 3. HIGH INTENSITY SHOT PEENING